BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF BALLARD CANAL PROPERTIES, INC., 2 PCHB No. 506 Appellant, 3 vs. FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 4 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 5 CONTROL AGENCY, 6 Respondent.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 3rd day of June, 1974, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant, Ballard Canal Properties, Inc., not appearing and respondent, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being Walt Woodward (presiding) and Mary Ellen McCaffree; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on the 4th day of June, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed

Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from 2 3 said service; and 4 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 5 6 now therefore, 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 4th day of 9 June, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this Zud day of 12 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2021 2223 24 25

26 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law 27 And Order

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF BALLARD CANAL PROPERTIES, INC., 2 PCHB No. 506 Appellant, 3 FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. 4 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER CONTROL AGENCY, 6 Respondent. 7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

This matter, the appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer, and Mary Ellen McCaffree) in the Washington Commerce Building, Seattle, on June 3, 1974.

Respondent appeared through Keith D. McGoffin. Sherri Darkow, 14 Bremerton court reporter, recorded the proceedings. Appellant did not appear, although the commencement of proceedings were delayed for 45 minutes beyond the hour of 1:30 p.m., which was set in notices of hearing mailed to all litigants in this matter by this Board on February 25, 1974. The Board directed Mr. McGoffin to sustain

Trespondent's position in this matter. 1 One witness was sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. 2 From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control 3 Hearings Board makes these 4 FINDINGS OF FACT 5 I. 6 On December 7, 1973, from a boiler stack owned by appellant 7 at 4735 Shilshole Avenue N.W., Seattle, King County, there was 8 emitted for eight consecutive minutes white smoke of 60 to 70 percent 9 10 opacity. 11 II. 12 In connection with the above, respondent issued to appellant Notice of Violation No. 8980, citing Section 9.03 of respondent's 1314 Regulation I, and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1295 in the sum of 15 \$250.00, which is the subject of this appeal. 16 III. 17 Three similar violations, each incurring a civil penalty of 18 \$100.00, had been issued by respondent to appellant during the 19 previous month. Appellant paid each of those penalties without 20 filing appeals with this Board. 21 IV. 22 Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission for more than three minutes in any one hour 24period an air contaminant greater in opacity than 40 percent. 25 $_{\beta}.29$ authorizes respondent to levy a civil penalty of not more than 26 \$250.00 for any violation of Regulation 1. 27 FINDINGS OF FACT,

2

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

1	V.
2	Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter stated which is deemed to be
3	a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.
4	From these Findings, and hearing naught from appellant, the
5	Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
7	I.
8	Appellant was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as
9	cited in Notice of Violation No. 8980.
10	II.
11	In view of the three similar violations issued to appellant
12	in the previous months, the penalty in Notice of Civil Penalty
13	No. 1295 is reasonable.
14	III.
15	Any Finding of Fact herein which is deemed to be a Conclusion
16	of Law is adopted herewith as same.
17	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this
18	ORDER
19	The appeal is denied and the penalty of \$250.00 is affirmed.
20	DONE at Lacey, Washington, this the day of June, 1974.
21	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
22	WOON Some
23	WALT WOODWARD, Charrman
24	S 600 Der 11
5	MARY ELLEN McCAFFREE, Member
26	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
27	AND ORDER 7